Agenda

Please note that due to the COVID-19 situation this meeting will take place remotely.

A link to view the live and recorded webcast of the remote meeting will be available on the Waverley Local Committee page on the council's website. We welcome you to Waverley Local Committee Your Councillors, Your Community and the Issues that Matter to You



Venue

Location: REMOTE

- Date: Friday, 26 March 2021
- **Time:** 10.00 am

And 10.30am Formal meeting



You can get involved in the following ways

Ask a question

If there is something you wish to know about how your council works or what it is doing in your area, you can ask the local committee a question about it.

Write a question

You can also put your question to the local committee in writing. The Partnership Committee Officer must receive it a minimum of 4 working days in advance of the meeting.

We will, where possible, endeavour to provide a written response to your question in advance of the meeting.

When you submit your question you will be sent an email invitation with a link to join the remote meeting, which will be held on Microsoft Teams.

This will enable you to listen to the Written Questions item and to then ask a further question based on the response provided if you wish, when invited to do so by the Chairman.

Sign a petition

If you live, work or study in Surrey and have a local issue of concern, you can petition the local committee and ask it to consider taking action on your behalf. Petitions should have at least 30 signatures and should be submitted to the Partnership Committee Officer 2 weeks before the meeting. You will be asked if you wish to outline your key concerns to the committee and will be given 3 minutes to address the meeting remotely via MS Teams. Your petition may either be discussed at the meeting or alternatively, at the following meeting.

Attending the Local Committee meeting

Your Partnership Committee Officer is here to help.

Email: Nicola.thorntonbryar@surreycc.gov.uk Tel: 07968 834693 (text or phone) Website: http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/waverley



Follow @WaverleyLC on Twitter

This is a meeting in public.

Please contact **Nikkie Thornton-Bryar**, **Partnership Committee Officer** using the above contact details:

- If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print, Braille, or another language. In view of the current Covid situation it may not be possible to supply this in advance of the meeting.
- If you would like to talk about something in today's meeting or have a local initiative or concern.

Surrey County Council Appointed Members

Mrs Victoria Young, Waverley Eastern Villages (Chairman) Mr David Harmer, Waverley Western Villages (Vice-Chairman) Mrs Nikki Barton, Haslemere Mr Andy MacLeod, Farnham Central Mr Peter Martin, Godalming South Milford and Witley Dr Andrew Povey, Cranleigh & Ewhurst Mr Wyatt Ramsdale, Farnham South Mrs Penny Rivers, Godalming North Mr Stephen Spence, Farnham North

Borough Council Appointed Members

Cllr Christine Baker, Milford Cllr Carole Cockburn, Farnham Bourne Cllr Steve Cosser, Godalming Charterhouse Cllr John Gray, Chiddingfold and Dunsfold Cllr George Hesse, Farnham Castle Cllr Jerry Hyman, Farnham Firgrove Cllr Mark Merryweather, Farnham Weybourne and Badshot Lea Cllr Trevor Sadler, Witley and Hambledon Cllr George Wilson, Godalming Farncombe and Catteshall

> Chief Executive Joanna Killian

OPEN FORUM - INFORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS

Before the formal Committee session begins, the Chairman will invite questions from members of the public attending the meeting. Where possible questions will receive an answer at the meeting, or a written response will be provided subsequently.

This will be subject to a separate MS Teams meeting starting at 10am, with the formal agenda meeting starting at 10.30am.

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

2 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

(Pages 1 - 12)

To approve the minutes of the previous meeting on 13th November 2020 as a correct record.

3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter

- (i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or
- (ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

NOTES:

- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)

Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial

4 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

To receive any Chairman's announcements.

5 PETITIONS & PETITION RESPONSES

(Pages 13 - 16)

To receive any petitions in accordance with Standing Order 68. Notice must be given in writing or by email to the Partnership Committee Officer at least 14 days before the meeting. Alternatively, the petition can be submitted on-line through Surrey County Council's e-petitions website as long as the minimum number of signatures (30) has been reached 14 days before the meeting. One petition has been received from Witley Parish Council and the petition details and response are attached.

6 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS

To answer any questions from residents or businesses within the Waverley Borough area in accordance with Standing Order 69. Notice should be given in writing or by email to the Partnership Committee Officer by 12 noon four working days before the meeting.

7 WRITTEN MEMBER QUESTIONS

To receive any written questions from Members under Standing Order 47. The deadline for members' questions is 12 noon four working days before the meeting. Notice should be given by email to the Partnership Committee Officer.

One written member question has been received and the question and answer given are attached.

8 HIGHWAYS BUDGET UPDATE FOR 2021/22

The report provides an update on the 2020/21 programme of highway works funded by this committee, an update on other centrally funded projects being promoted in the local area. In addition, it details the committee devolved allocation for 2021/22 and how it is proposed to be allocated.

9 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION TRACKER (FOR INFORMATION) (Pages 29 - 32)

To review any outstanding Local Committee decisions.

10 LOCAL COMMITTEE FORWARD PROGRAMME 2021-22 (Pages 33 - 34)

To note the proposed forward programme and consider any additional items for future discussion.

11 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

To be held on Friday 18th June 2021 at 10am in Waverley Council Chamber, The Burys, Godalming GU7 1HR.

(10am – 10.30am: Open Forum / Informal Public Question Time)

(Pages 17 - 18)

(Pages 19 - 28)

This page is intentionally left blank

DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the Waverley LOCAL COMMITTEE held at 10.30 am on 13 November 2020 on MS Teams and webcast on SCC website.

Surrey County Council Members:

- * Mrs Victoria Young (Chairman)
- * Mr David Harmer (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mrs Nikki Barton
- * Mr Andy MacLeod
- * Mr Peter Martin
- * Dr Andrew Povey
- * Mr Wyatt Ramsdale
- * Mrs Penny Rivers
- * Mr Stephen Spence

Borough / District Members:

- * Cllr Christine Baker
- * Cllr Carole Cockburn
- * Cllr Steve Cosser
- * Cllr John Gray
- Cllr George Hesse
- * Cllr Jerry Hyman
- * Cllr Mark Merryweather Cllr Trevor Sadler Cllr George Wilson

* In attendance

30/20 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]

Apologies were received from Cllr Trevor Sadler.

31/20 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]

Minutes of the Waverley Local Committee on 19th June 2020 were agreed and approved as an accurate record.

32/20 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

There were no declarations of interest.

33/20 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS [Item 4]

The Chairman highlighted that the committee had agreed to carry out a series of resident engagement sessions, starting with one for the Haslemere and the surrounding villages later in November.

34/20 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 6]

Three written Public Questions were received at the committee. The questions and answers were published on the SCC Waverley Local Committee web pages before the meeting. The following supplementary questions were asked:

Question 1: Supplementary Question – Mr Castles

"I am concerned that there are gullies that are recorded as being cleaned, but there is no evidence on the ground of this having taken place. I would request that a member of the highways team visit the location to see what the impact is for themselves"

The Committee agreed that they would write to the local highways team and ask that someone visit the site to view the location.

Question 2: Supplementary Question – Anne Corbin

"The issue is that there are lots of rental properties in the area and that it is cheaper for them to park on the double yellow lines rather than pay for parking, and therefore enforcement does not provide a deterrent. I would like the committee to look at a long term solution to the issue including an extended pathway."

The Committee agreed that the County Councillors and Ward Councillors would meet with the questioner alongside a highways officer to see what could be done to improve the situation.

Question 3: There was no supplementary question asked.

35/20 PETITIONS & PETITION RESPONSES [Item 5]

Declarations of Interest: None.

Officer in attendance: Duncan Knox, SCC Road Safety and Active Travel Team Manager.

Petitions, Public Questions/Statements: One.

A petition was received from Ali Pritchard which contained 57 signatures and read:

"Farnham Heath End School Parking on Bullers Road

As residents of Bullers Road, we would like to formally register our complaint and petition concerning the use of our residential street by parent drivers of Heath End School students. This concern is widely shared with Bullers Road residents, as indicated in the enclosed signed petition.

Bullers Road is a quiet residential no-through road, which provides deliveryonly access to Farnham Heath End School (the main entrance point to the school is accessed via Hale Reeds). The street consists of predominantly period properties with limited off-street parking for residents and over the years has witnessed increasing levels of traffic from school parents using the street for drop off and collection rather than the designated car park at Hale Reeds. This activity has caused significant disruption, conflict and importantly safety concerns for local residents.

These daily, albeit currently paused occurrences, due to the current Covid-19 climate, continue to be highly stressful and dangerous elements that form the daily routine on Bullers Road. We urge you to take action to provide some relief after years of resident tolerance."

As part of her introduction to the petition, the lead petitioner made the following points:

- Initially the petition was created due to environmental concerns of drivers idling up and down the street emitting dangerous gases including carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxide.
- However, road safety issues have increased over the last few years including cars speeding around the corner of Bullers Road, parking on double yellow lines and in the turning circle, and over driveways.
- Residents welcomed the proposed recommendations, and asked that the keep clear signs and yellow lines were repainted as well as a "Keep Schools Clean" banner introduced.
- She requested that the time for the camera to be in operation should be 8.15am to 9.15am and 2.15pm to 3.15pm.

The Road Safety and Active Travel Manager responded stating that the concept of a School Street Zone was one that he was interested in exploring, once the Secretary of State for Transport have provided all local authorities with the enforcement powers to carry this out. Following this, all the proposals within the recommendations needed to be part of a consultation of all local residents so that there was clarity about what the changes would mean, including having no visitors parking or deliveries during this time. There would also need to be a review of the knock on effect of these restrictions, and these would come to the committee in the future for consideration.

The Local Committee (Waverley) AGREED that:

- (i) When central government gives local authorities outside of London the power to enforce School Street road closures, then Bullers Road should become the first pilot of this type of scheme in Surrey.
- (ii) Proposals for a School Street on Bullers Road would be subject to consultation with the local residents and school. The results of the consultation would be presented to the Local Committee when seeking approval to proceed with advertising the legal order to create the School Street. This would assist the Committee in deciding whether to proceed with the scheme or not.

Reason: School Streets are roads near schools that are closed at drop off and pick up times and have been implemented at several locations across London. Once central government give Local Authorities outside of London the power to enforce such road closures, this type of measure could help to solve the problems associated with congestion, road safety, anti-social parking and poor air quality in the vicinity of schools. It is proposed that Bullers Road becomes the first pilot school street in Surrey as it could provide a solution to the concerns raised by the petitioners.

36/20 WRITTEN MEMBER QUESTIONS [Item 7]

One written Member question was received from Cllr Jerry Hyman. The question and answer was published on the SCC Waverley Local Committee web pages before the meeting. Cllr Hyman asked the following supplementary question:

"I don't believe that the original question has been answered – so can the Committee agree that the Farnham Covid-19 road measures should be removed immediately."

Members discussion – key points:

- The Farnham Board had been looking into the issue over the last few months, and had recently held a local liaison meeting to get residents views on the subject of transport infrastructure around Farnham.
- In addition to this the Surrey County Council Leader, Cllr Tim Oliver and the Environment, Transport and Infrastructure Strategic Director had been taking the issue seriously. It was recognised that the situation wasn't perfect but that the Board was working to look to improve the situation
- There was a discussion about whether the new road measures, and the way that they had been advertised had had a significant impact on the traffic flow through Farnham, or if this would have taken place regardless of the new measures.
- It was noted that the traffic restrictions were not universally popular.

37/20 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING POINT TRIALS: LOCATIONS (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION) [Item 8]

Declarations of Interest: None.

Officer in attendance: Steve Howard, SCC Transport Strategy Project Manager.

Petitions, Public Questions/Statements: None.

The item was introduced by the Transport Strategy Project Manager presenting a summary of the consultation responses relating to the recent public consultation on Electric Vehicle Charging points in Waverley (Annex C). Based on these responses, the Transport Strategy Project Manager presented a revised set of recommendations for the Committee to consider (also set out in Annex C) which would enable the progression of supported locations.

Member discussion – key points:

- In line with comments at the last meeting, the Members welcomed the introduction of Electric Vehicle Charging points in Waverley, and stated a wish to progress with this as quickly as possible in line with residents views.
- It was noted that the locations that were proposed within the consultation had been identified following conversations with the

Parking Team and Area Highways Managers (about preferred sites) and Power Companies (regarding practicalities).

- Members were pleased with the resident consultation outcome relating to Summers Road and supported the implementation of charging sites there, but stated that implementing charging points in Croft Road, Godalming would be more challenging
- There was agreement that the two sites Windrush Close (Bramley) and Brighton Road (Godalming) should not be taken forward based on resident responses. It was also agreed that Wey Hill site in Haslemere should not progress due to the proximity to the proposed site at St. Christopher's Green.
- There was a discussion regarding the nature of the response to the Hale Road proposal, and how that had been taken into consideration in the proposals.
- It was agreed not to make a decision on the additional 1 or 2 sites where further investigation was required, and that discussion with local members would take place after the meeting to determine which of the remaining three sites would be taken forward as part of Phase 1.
- As part of the discussion, there was general agreement that the best place to locate charging points would be within car parks, and that Waverley Borough Council was looking at this. With this, it was noted that officers from Surrey County Council and Waverley Borough Council were discussing how to ensure that there was as much consistency relating to the way these charging points operated across the borough, with the note that some of these car parks were within conservation areas which had an impact on the type and design of the physical charging points.
- It was requested that Members liaised with the Transport Strategy Project Manager to discuss possible sites within their divisions for Phase 2 of the pilot, following a conversation regarding the wish for sites in Cranleigh & Ewhurst, and further sites in Farnham & Haslemere.

The Local Committee (Waverley):

- Approved the installation of Electric Vehicle Charging points in Summers Road (Godalming), Hale Road (Farnham) and St. Christopher's Green (Haslemere) in line with the proposals set out in the consultation
- (ii) Agreed that Electric Vehicle Charging Points be installed in 1 or 2 of the following: High Park Road (Farnham), Croft Road (Godalming) or Falkner Road (Farnham) in line with the proposals set out in the consultation, and to delegate approval for which sites to progress to the Transport Strategy Project Manager in line with the recommendations by the Chairman, Vice Chairman and appropriate Divisional Members
- (iii) Agreed that NO Electric Vehicle Charging Points be installed in Wey Hill (Haslemere), Windrush Close (Bramley) or Brighton Road (Godalming)
- (iv) Delegated approval of any future sites required for potential Phase 2 trial to the Transport Strategy Project Manager in line with the

recommendations by the Chairman, Vice Chairman and appropriate Divisional Members, where a decision on sites needs to be made prior to the next Local Committee meeting.

38/20 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION & ACTION TRACKER (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 9]

Declarations of Interest: None **Officer in attendance**: Michelle Collins, Partnerships Lead **Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None.

The Local Committee (Waverley) AGREED that:

- Decision Tracker items regarding roads in the vicinity of Potters Gate School, monitoring HGVs on rural roads between Cranleigh and the A3, including Markwick Lane, and Electric Vehicle Charging Point locations, shall remain 'open'.
- Item regarding Amlets Lane should be removed.
- Item regarding Beacon Hill speed limit should be removed.
- Item regarding traffic calming measures in Portsmouth Road should be removed.

39/20 LOCAL COMMITTEE FORWARD PROGRAMME 2020-21 [Item 10]

Declarations of Interest: None **Officer in attendance**: Michelle Collins, Partnerships Lead **Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None.

Member discussion – key points:

Members requested that the following be added to the Forward Plan:

• To receive an update on the highways projects that had been agreed under the local highways funding.

40/20 DATE OF NEXT MEETING [Item 11]

To be held on Friday 26th March 2021 at 10am in the Waverley Borough Council Chamber, The Burys, Godalming GU7 1HR, or a virtual meeting, to be confirmed.

(10am – 10.30am: Open Public Questions Forum)

Meeting ended at: Time Not Specified

Chairman



SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY) 13 November 2020

Minutes Annex A: INFORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS

One question was received from:

Question 1 - Paul Osborne

"Cllr Matt Furniss, the Cabinet Member for Transport has previously committed to looking into the HGV issues along Markwick Lane / Western Corridor between Milford and Cranleigh. He later reconfirmed his commitment to doing this. I understood this would take place this Autumn and would be linked in with a look at HGVs travelling through Hascombe. What is the update on this?"

Cllr Victoria Young, the Chairman of the Local Committee thanked Mr Osborne for his support on this topic, and reconfirmed that Cllr Furniss had committed to look into this matter. She updated the committee that she and Cllr Peter Martin had funded a destination survey. It was programmed to take palce in November 2020, but it had to be postponed due to lockdown as it would not show an accurate reflection of traffic flow. It is currently planned to be carried out in December, but will take place as soon as practicable.

This page is intentionally left blank

Electric Vehicle Charging Point Trials TRO Consultation Feedback

Waverley Local Committee Nov 2020





RO Consultation Feedback

- 9 EV charging locations were put forward for TRO consultation review
- Received 50 digital objections, with 5 additional sent by post or logged in different methods.
- The feedback review occurred through the following process:
 - Grouped by site location;
 - Responses grouped by categories –ie current provision or permits;
 - Sites reviewed based on responses received;
 - Additional location review on google maps to re-check the site;
 - Responses for each site has been categorised as either recommend to proceed, discount or requires further investigation / altering;
 - The next steps is to complete review, engage with relevant teams to make final plans and recommendations;



| rion | Number of Objections | Action | Reason | Next steps |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| s Road ^{Ig} | 0 | Recommend to progress | No objections and feasible | Complete report to recommend this site. |
| ıd | | | bjection was reviewed and it has been deemed that the location still warrants continuation | Complete report to recommend this site. |
| opher's re | 1 | Recommend to progress | Objection has been reviewed and the EV provision will not take over the whole parking space and restrictions are time limited so shouldn't cause to much disruption for those using the church | Complete report to recommend this site. |
| Page 11 | | investigation | Location close to Christopher's green so choosing both will likely lead to over provision in the short term and concerns from the local businesses about impacts on business. Site likely to be halted in the short term | Pause for now, if site to be considered in the future engagement with business owners and users as to best timescales and loading considerations. |
| k Road | 8 | Further investigation required | Concerns around limited parking availability | Meetings with both the EV provider and parking team to consider if one charger could be considered initially. |
| ad Ig | 9 | Further | Concerns around limited parking availability | Meetings with both the EV provider and parking team to consider if one charger could be considered initially. |
| Road | | Further 🥎 | | Meeting with the parking team to consider if bay opposite proposed bay could be altered to offer permitted parking for these residents. |
| n Close | 5 | | - | Make note of lessons learnt to support in future site |
| Road Ig | 22 | Discount | | Make note of lessons learnt to support in future site consideration. |

* The forth objection was submitted on behalf of a residential block, 9 residents signed the objection around permit concerns

This page is intentionally left blank

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)



- DATE: 26 MARCH 2021
- SUBJECT: PETITION RESPONSE REPORT Install a speed VAS and a junction warning VAS, and to implement some improvements to signage, road markings and speed limit locations on the A283, along with some changes to the New Road junction

DIVISION: GODALMING SOUTH

RECOMMENDATION

This site falls between the Godalming South, Milford and Witley division and the Eastern Villages division and therefore It would be at the discretion of the divisional members to decide whether they wish to part fund any or all of the proposed works. However, both Witley and Hambledon Parish Council's may wish to fund the works themselves and the County Council would be happy to undertake the projects on their behalf subject to the funds being made available.

PETITION DETAILS:

A petition has been submitted to the Local Committee from Witley Parish Council. The petition has received 301 signatures. The petition's details read:

Witley and Hambledon Parish Councils have listened to concerns from their residents and have issues with safety aspects on the A283 at Culmer and Wormley Hill.

We the undersigned petition SCC to consider the following:

- A permanent VAS sign for speeding

- Moving the 40mph sign down from the crest of Wormley Hill (towards Chiddingfold)

- Addressing the safety of property accesses on Wormley Hill
- A permanent VAS sign for the junction with New Road
- A possible shared cost mobile VAS sign with other parishes
- Solid white lines from Combe Lane junction to the brow of the hill
- A review of signage locations and visibility
- Make some improvements to the New Road junction.

www.surreycc.gov.uk/waverley

SCC have advised that they don't think a mobile VAS would be of any benefit on the A283 and that they rarely use VAS in 50 speed limits, but consider a permanent sign is required.

Witley and Hambledon Parish Councils therefore request that simple adjustments are considered, even if they vary slightly from current guidelines, and that there is consideration for some improvements to the New Road junction. The safety and security of residents and road users is our principal concern in this regard.

RESPONSE:

- A permanent VAS sign for speeding

Speed surveys were carried out on the A283 Petworth Road at King Edwards School. Close to Wormley Lane, and Philips Garage (near Chiddingfold) in October 2020. The data shows that average speeds are generally compliant with the posted 50 mph speed limit in both directions along this section of the A283 apart from the southbound approach from Wormley Lane to Lane End where average speeds were found to be higher than desired and at the threshold for Police enforcement to be considered. As a result Surrey Police have identified two sites where speed enforcement can be carried out by the Surrey Safer Camera Partnership in an effort to try and raise awareness of the 50mph speed limit. The speed data is as follows:

King Edwards School 40 mph limit North (towards Milford) 39 mph South (towards Chiddignfold) 41 mph

Near Wormley Lane 50 mph limit North 52 mph South 58 mph

Philips Garage 50mph limit North 48 mph South 51 mph

VAS are generally only used in 30 mph and in some instances 40 mph speed limits. They are rarely, if ever, used in 50 mph limits in the county. However, there may be some exceptions at sites where there are high numbers of casualties and the VAS are used in combination with other speed reduction measures.

In this instance the County Council do not deem it appropriate to deploy a speed Vehicle Activated Sign (VAS) along the A283 Petworth Road due to the relatively good compliance with the posted speed limit. The Surrey Safer Camera Partnership will undertake speed enforcement on the section where the data shows excess speed. However, a crossroads warning sign VAS is proposed for this location to raise awareness of the Lane End and New Road crossroads. - Moving the 40mph sign down from the crest of Wormley Hill (towards Chiddingfold)

The speed data shows good compliance for the most part with the posted 50 mph which would suggest that the limit has been correctly set for this section of road, however, as the average speeds on the southbound section to Lane End are higher than desired (58 mph) it would not meet the criteria to extend the 40 mph speed limit southbound beyond its current position. The criteria to reduce the limit is average speeds of 46 mph or less.

- Addressing the safety of property accesses on Wormley Hill

The County Council are not aware of any direct complaints or enquiries from residents relating to access difficulties to properties on this section of road. The A283 Petworth Road is very busy A road with a 50 mph speed limit that has been proven to be appropriate in terms of the recorded average speeds which are in line with the County Council policy for 'setting local speeds' limits for the location. If residents have specific concerns that are not addressed in this response they can contact the County Council via the SCC website.

- A permanent VAS sign for the junction with New Road

The County Council Road Safety Team have discussed the possibility of upgrading the existing static warning signs and using a permanent crossroads warning sign VAS for the southbound approach to Lane End crossroads due to the higher than desired average speeds. The unit would be required to have a passively safe post and permanent electric mains feed so that it is fully operational 24 hours a day seven days a week. The estimated cost to upgrade the signs and supply and install a mains operated VAS could be in the region of £15,000.

- A possible shared cost mobile VAS sign with other parishes

Portable VAS sites must be risk assessed by a member of the Road Safety Team. There must be suitable street furniture that can be used to attach the equipment and the location must be safe for the operatives to deploy the unit. Operatives also require specialist training and personal protective equipment before they can deploy VAS on the network. Unfortunately, Surrey County Council do not support the use of portable VAS in speed limits above 30 mph due to the risk of incident on a high speed roads.

- Solid white lines from Combe Lane junction to the brow of the hill

A solid white line system is already in place from the junction of Coombe Lane to just south of Milestone Cottage. The design layout is correct for the location and cannot be altered.

www.surreycc.gov.uk/waverley

- A review of signage locations and visibility

A review of the signage has been carried out and has identified that the crossroads signs could benefit from being upgraded to provide more awareness of the Lane and New road junction. It has also been suggested that a permanent crossroads warning sign could be installed on the southbound approach to Lane End crossroads. The combined cost of the sign upgrade and VAS would be in the region of £15,000. A further VAS could be considered on the northbound approach to the crossroads which would be in the region of £10,000.

- Make some improvements to the New Road junction.

The County Council would need to undertake a feasibility study to look at this junction in more detail. The cost of a study is likely to be £10,000. However, from initial site observations there is very little that can be done to improve or alter the geographical layout of the junction due to the proximity of the bend and the lack of available highway land. The forward visibility when looking southbound from New Road is limited which can make it difficult for vehicles to exit the junction, in particular if they wish to turn right towards Chiddingfold.

Contact Officer: Adrian Selby Highways Team



SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY) 26 March 2021

AGENDA ITEM 7

WRITTEN MEMBER QUESTIONS

1. Cllr John Gray will ask the following question:

Residents have raised many complaints about the state of the Plaistow Road (Dunsfold Parish) from junction of the Chiddingfold / High Street Green road up to Burntwood Kennels and further up to the junction with Fisher lane.

The road has been the route of a number of diversions affecting the Chiddingfold Road which has channelled much more heavy goods traffic causing sinking and depressions similar to the Chiddingfold road (which has been repaired in the last six months). This is damaging tyres and making an accident highly likely in the view of residents.

Highways most recent inspection in February 2021 identified some 26 pot holes which were filled in but did not address the overall poor state of the road. Rural residents in this part of Waverley feel that they do not receive a fair share of road repair monies.

Would County Highways address the concerns of residents and give an indication of when the road can be repaired and resurfaced rather than filling pot holes every three months?

Frank Apicella, SCC Area Highway Manager (South West), will give the following answer:

Thank you for your question, raising your concerns regarding Plaistow Road in Dunsfold.

I understand your concerns about the general condition of the road and we have road warning signs in place regarding the uneven road surface. Unfortunately this road isn't currently on any planned maintenance programme, however we will review whether the current condition is such that it should be considered further for inclusion on that programme or for other repairs.

Plaistow Road will continue to be inspected every 3 months for Highway safety defects which will be repaired in accordance with our Highway Safety Inspection Policy.

www.surreycc.gov.uk/waverley

I'm sorry not to be able to give you a more positive response at the moment. I should advise that to manage the demand for road improvements we allocate funding to those schemes that prioritise highest in terms of defect severity and network hierarchy so cannot confirm when any significant works maybe undertaken on Plaistow Road as this will need to be considered alongside other roads on the programme.

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)



DATE: 26 MARCH 2021

LEAD FRANK APICELLA – AREA HIGHWAY MANAGER (SW) OFFICER:

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE HIGHWAY BUDGET

AREA(S) ALL DIVISIONS IN WAVERLEY AFFECTED:

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

This report provides an update on the 2020/21 programme of highway works funded by this committee, an update on other centrally funded projects being promoted in the local area. In addition, it details the committee devolved allocation for 2021/22 and how it is proposed to be allocated.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Waverley) is asked:

- (i) To note the committee approved allocations and works progressed during 2020/21
- (ii) To agree the recommendations made in this report for utilising the available highways budget for 2021/22. (Paragraph 2.1.8 2.1.16 refers.)
- (iii) To agree to allocate the £500,000 parking surplus fund reserved for Farnham to the Farnham Board.
- (iv) To delegate to the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional Member, the ability to resolve any problems encountered, to facilitate scheme delivery throughout the year.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The committee is asked to agree the recommendations to enable progression of works orders and expenditure of the Committee budget.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:

- 1.1 Surrey County Council's Local Transport Plan (LTP) aims to improve the highway network for all users. In general terms it aims to reduce congestion, improve accessibility, reduce the frequency and severity of road casualties, improve the environment, and maintain the network so that it is safe for public use.
- 1.2 The Local Committee (Waverley) has an annual delegated highways budget with which to implement measures that contribute towards the objectives set out in Surrey County Council's LTP, according to local priorities.

www.surreycc.gov.uk/Waverley

2. ANALYSIS:

2.1 Highway Budget

2020/21 Highway Budget

2.1.1 In summary the Committee budget for 2020/21 is as detailed in the table below:-

| Committee Budget 2020/21 | Amount |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| Capital maintenance & ITS | £100,000 |
| Capital maintenance (9 x £23.456k) | £211,104 |
| Revenue Highway Fund (9 x £7.5k) | £ 67,500 |
| | £378,604 |

2.1.2 Annex 2 identifies the programme of capital & revenue works approved for implementation during 2020/21.

2021/22 Highway Budget

- 2.1.3 The devolved Committee budgets for 2021/22 were confirmed earlier in the year and resolved that they would remain the same as in 2020/21 for the 11 joint and local Committees.
- 2.1.4 It has been approved that each of the 11 joint and local Committees will receive a share of a £3m Capital Maintenance allocation, split by the number of County Committee members.

| Committee | Members | Capital |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Elmbridge | 9 | £311,104 |
| Epsom & Ewell | 5 | £217,280 |
| Guildford | 10 | £334,456 |
| Mole Valley | 6 | £240,736 |
| Reigate & Banstead | 10 | £334,456 |
| Runnymede | 6 | £240,736 |
| Spelthorne | 7 | £264,192 |
| Surrey Heath | 6 | £240,736 |
| Tandridge | 6 | £240,736 |
| Waverley | 9 | £311,104 |
| Woking | 7 | £264,192 |
| TOTAL | 81 | £3,000,000 |

2.1.5 However, It has also been approved to additionally allocate a further **£4m Capital**, to each of the 11 joint and local Committees; using the same formula; with the aim of reducing the number of schemes on each of the Committees

| Committee | Members | Capital |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Elmbridge | 9 | £444,447 |
| Epsom & Ewell | 5 | £246,915 |
| Guildford | 10 | £493,830 |
| Mole Valley | 6 | £296,298 |
| Reigate & Banstead | 10 | £493,380 |
| Runnymede | 6 | £296,298 |
| Spelthorne | 7 | £345,681 |
| Surrey Heath | 6 | £296,298 |
| Tandridge | 6 | £296,298 |
| Waverley | 9 | £444,447 |
| Woking | 7 | £345,681 |
| TOTAL | 81 | £4,000,000 |

ITS lists, nevertheless this can be expended on capital maintenance schemes if the committee is minded.

- 2.1.6 County Councillors will continue to be allocated a Revenue Highway Fund allocation, and this will remain at £7,500 per member, as in 2019/20 & 2020/21.
- 2.1.7 The Local Committee (Waverley) devolved budget for 2021/22 is as follows:-

| Committee Budget 2021/22 | Amount |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| Capital maintenance & ITS | £444,447 |
| Capital maintenance (9 x £34,567k) | £311,104 |
| Revenue Highway Fund (9 x £7.5k) | £ 67,500 |
| | £823,051 |

Programme of Works 2020/21

<u>Capital</u>

- 2.1.8 It is proposed to again allocate £5,000 of the Capital fund for the provision of new signs and lines throughout the year, to be administered by the Local Team.
- 2.1.9 The Local Committee (Waverley) prioritised list of Local Transport Plan (ITS) schemes is attached at Annex 1 for information.
- 2.1.10 It is proposed to share equally between the 9 divisional members the £311,104 of capital maintenance. This equates to £34,567 per member.
- 2.1.11 It has already been resolved previously that going forward the balance of the parking surplus was to be top sliced by 15% annually. The Committee would separately resolve to prioritise any relative projects that arise across the entire nine divisions of Waverley, and that the remaining 85% continues to be allocated as previously determined. (72% to 28%).

www.surreycc.gov.uk/Waverley

- 2.1.12 However over recent years the fund has been depleting for the 6 Waverley (non Farnham) divisional members, whilst the account for the 3 Farnham divisional members has grown.
- 2.1.13 During the last year, and as a direct consequence of the pandemic, there has not been any income surplus from the parking receipts.
- 2.1.14 The Farnham Infrastructure project is now very active and making headway on preliminary design and consultation. To this end the opportunity has now been taken to offer the funding allocated to the Farnham Board for the project, which is currently in the region of £500,000.
- 2.1.15 Hence it is not proposed to make any allocation this year from the Parking Surplus fund which has been exhausted. It is hoped that this will grow again in future years and the Committee will agree an expenditure plan from the proceeds.
- 2.1.16 As a consequence of the allocation to the Farnham Board, for this major project, it is further proposed to share equally the £444,447, less £5,000 for signing and lining, equally between the 6 divisional members (non Farnham).

| • | £5,000 | Signs and lines |
|---|----------|--|
| • | £439,447 | Shared equally between the 6 Waverley (Non |
| | | Farnham) divisional members, equating to £73,241. |
| • | £311,104 | The Area Highway Manager will be arranging 1-2-1 meetings with the 9 County Councillors to allocate the £34,567 per member plus balance of the highway fund. |

<u>Revenue</u>

- 2.1.17 In previous years, as a result, of there not being a revenue maintenance allocation, the 9 divisional members, have all agreed to allocate £5k from their £7.5k Highway fund. This enabled at least some local revenue maintenance works to be carried out and administered by the Local Team.
- 2.1.18 It is hence further recommended that the Committee resolve to approve the following:
 - Allocate £45,000 from the £67,500 Highway fund (£5000 per member) to be used to buy in a Community Gang, to enable these eminently worthwhile maintenance issues to be carried out. County Members would have direct control in the input of works list to the Maintenance Engineer.
- 2.1.19 Members have been receiving updates directly from their LHOs to what works are being carried out in their divisions utilising their Highway fund, and this will continue.
- 2.1.20 The Cabinet Member has advised that any Highway fund allocation **NOT** assigned by the end of October 2021 will be lost.

3. OPTIONS:

3.1 Officers seek to implement the most cost effective measures which meet scheme objectives. Officers will revert to the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional Member, or indeed the Committee as appropriate, whenever Preferred options need to be identified.

4. CONSULTATIONS:

4.1 None at this stage. Officers will consult the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional Members as appropriate in the delivery of the programmes detailed above.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

5.1 The financial implications of this paper are detailed in section 2 above.

6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:

6.1 It is an objective of Surrey Highways to take account of the needs of all users of the public highway

7. LOCALISM:

7.1 The Joint Committee prioritises its expenditure according to local priorities.

8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

| Area assessed: | Direct Implications: |
|--|--|
| Crime and Disorder | A well-managed highway network can contribute to reduction in crime and disorder as well as improve peoples' perception of crime. |
| Sustainability (including Climate Change | No significant implications arising from |
| and Carbon Emissions) | this report. |
| Corporate Parenting/Looked After | No significant implications arising from |
| Children | this report. |
| Safeguarding responsibilities for | No significant implications arising from |
| vulnerable children and adults | this report. |
| Public Health | No significant implications arising from |
| | this report. |

9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

9.1 This Financial Year's approved programmes are currently in the process of being finalised as identified in Annex 2.

10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

10.1 The Area Highway Manager will work with Divisional Members, the Chairman and Vice-Chairman to deliver the 2021/22 approved programme of works.

Contact Officer: Frank Apicella SCC Area Highway Manager SW Tel 0300 200 1003

Consulted:

As detailed within the report.

Annexes:

- Annex 1 Local Committee running list of Local Transport Plan schemes– highlighting ITS projects to be progressed from Capital 2021/22
- Annex 2 Progress of Local Committee capital and revenue schemes 2020/21

Sources/background papers:

None

Waverley Member expenditure - 2021/22

Member budget

| | Farnham 3 |
|----------|------------|
| £34,567 | members |
| | Waverley 6 |
| £107,808 | members |

| Road name | Town/Village | Treatment | Councillor | | EST Notes £107,808 |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----|---------------------------|
| Northcote lane | Alfold | 40mm inlay | Budget Cllr Young | c/w | priority 2 |
| Station lane | Bramley | 40mm inlay | Cllr Young | c/w | priority 1 |
| Blackheath lane | Blackheath | salt bin | Cllr Young | c/w | £617 |
| The cricket green | Hambledon | salt bin | Cllr Young | c/w | £617 |
| Mare hill | Hascombe | salt bin | - | | £617 |
| | Dunsfold | salt bin | Cllr Young | c/w | £826 |
| Chiddingfold road | Wonersh | salt bin | Cllr Young | c/w | £826 |
| Barnett close (new salt bin) | wonersn | Salt Dill | Cllr Young | c/w | |
| New VAS A/S to order | | | Cllr Young | | £5,000 |
| | | | | | £8,503 |
| | | | Budget | | £34,567 |
| Shortheath road | Farnham South | 40mm inlay | Cllr Ramsdale | c/w | priority 1 |
| Gardeners Hill road | Farnham South | 40mm inlay | Cllr Ramsdale | c/w | priority 2 |
| Stream Farm Close | Farnham South | 40mm inlay | Cllr Ramsdale | c/w | priority 3 |
| Vicarage lane | Farnham South | salt bin | Cllr Ramsdale | c/w | 617 |
| | | | | | <mark>£617</mark> |
| | | | Budget | | £34,567 |
| Bear lane | Farnham Central | 40mm inlay | Cllr Macleod | c/w | |
| Waverley lane | Farnham Central | slurry | Cllr Macleod | f/w | |
| Holland Close | Farnham Central | slurry | Cllr Macleod | f/w | |
| Stoke hills | Farnham Central | salt bin | Cllr Macleod | c/w | |
| The Fairfield | Farnham Central | salt bin | Cllr Macleod | c/w | |
| Roman way | Farnham Central | salt bin | Cllr Macleod | c/w | |
| | | | | | £0.00 |
| | | | Budget | | £107,808 |
| Catteshall lane | Godalming | 40mm inlay | Cllr Rivers | c/w | priority 1 |
| Latimer road | Godalming | salt bin | Cllr Rivers | f/w | £617 |
| Farncombe Hill | Godalming | slurry | Cllr Rivers | f/w | priority 2 |
| Perry close | Godalming | slurry | Cllr Rivers | c/w | priority 3 <u>£617</u> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Budget | | £107,808 |
| Bealeswood lane | Dockenfield | 40mm inlay | Cllr Harmer | c/w | priority 2 |
| Linkside North | Hindhead | 40mm inlay | Cllr Harmer | c/w | priority 3 |
| A287 Crossways | Churt | 40mm inlay / anti skid | Cllr Harmer | c/w | priority 1 |
| | | | Budget | | £0.00 £107,808 |
| | | | Duugei | | 1107,000 |
| Fountain Square slip road | Cranleigh | Feasibilty pedestrainisation barrier | Cllr Povev | | ТВС |
| | | Foosibility streetscope WBC DIC | | | TDC |

| rountain Square shp roud | Cranicign | reasibility peacestrainisation barrier | Chill Ovey | | IDC |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|-------------|-----|-----------------|
| High street streetscene | Cranleigh | Feasibilty streetscene WBC PIC | Cllr Povey | | ТВС |
| Ewhurst traffic calming | Ewhurst | Feasibility traffic calming | Cllr Povey | | ТВС |
| Bookhurst road | Cranleigh | salt bin | Cllr Povey | | £617 |
| | | | | | £617 |
| | | | Budget | | £34,567 |
| Low lane | Farnham North | whole length | Cllr Spence | c/w | priority 1 |
| Woodland Av | Farnham North | salt bin | Cllr Spence | c/w | £617 priority 2 |
| Sandy Hills estate | Farnham North | salt bin | Cllr Spence | c/w | £617 |
| Farnham park close | Farnham North | salt bin | Cllr Spence | c/w | £617 |
| Weybourne lane | Farnham North | salt bin | Cllr Spence | c/w | £617 |
| St Georges road | Farnham North | salt bin | Cllr Spence | c/w | £826 |
| | | | | | £3,294 |
| | | | Budget | | £107,808 |
| Pullman lane | Godalming | 40mm inlay | Cllr Martin | c/w | priority 1 |
| Ashstead lane | Godalming | slurry | Cllr Martin | f/w | priority 2 |
| Roke lane | Milford | salt bin | Cllr Martin | c/w | £617 |
| Shackstead lane | Godalming | salt bin | Cllr Martin | c/w | £826 |
| | | | | -, | £1,443 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Budget | | £107,808 |
| Bunch lane | Haslemere | 40mm inlay | Cllr Barton | c/w | priority 1 |
| Church road | Haslemere | 40mm inlay | Cllr Barton | c/w | priority 2 |
| new lamposts | Haslemere | ITS A/S | Cllr Barton | c/w | £15,000 |
| Cherry tree road | Haslemere | 40mm inlay | Cllr Barton | c/w | priority 3 |
| Liphook road | Haslemere | 40mm inlay | Cllr Barton | c/w | priority 4 |
| | | | | | £15,000 |
| | | | | | |

This page is intentionally left blank

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total Cost = Cost - Possible | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---|---|-------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|-----------|--|---|-------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| w | Vaverley LTP SCHEMES RANKING - Feb 2021 | | Co | ongestic | on | | | Acces | sibility | | | | S | Safety | | | | En | vironme | ent | | Economy | / | | | | Possible | Developer Funding | |
| | | | | 15% | S | W Score a | /gtd dj. | 1 | 5% | Scor | re W | gtd adj. | : | 35% | | Score | Wgtd adj. | 15 | % | Score | Wgtd adj. | 20% | Score | Wgtd adj. | FINAL SCORE | Cost | developer funding | Total Cost | cost/benefit |
| 5-) מ | Factors should be assessed considering whether the proposed scheme will have a positive or negative effect, using the range of 5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5), with negative figures denoting disbenefit, and positive ones benefit. The score given should reflect factors such as the type of road, traffic volumes, likely impact of scheme etc. For KSI and accident statistics, the number of accidents over the preceding three year period should be entered, but only if these are directly relevant to the purpose of the scheme. Scheme / Title | Division | Vehicle delay impact Traffic growth impact | Support travel plan | management Parking management | | 15% | Improve mobility for impaired Promote public transport | Encourage walking Reduce community severance | Encourage cycling | | 15% | Reduce KSI Reduce child KSI | Reduce slight casualties Reduce vehicle speeds | Support safe routes to school Improve street lighting | Keduce fear of crime | 35% | Improve streetscene inc. reducing tipping Reduce vehicle CO2 emissions | Reduce traffic noise reduce HGV impact | | 15% | Aligns with planned maintenance | Supports local economy | 20% | | £(k) | £(k) | £(k) | |
| 1 M | leadrow/Catteshall Road pedestrian crossing upgrade and cycle route | Godalming North/Milford & Witley | 1 1 | 3 2 | 2 0 | 7.00 | 105.00 | 3 2 | 2 2 | 3 12 | .00 ^ | 180.00 | 0 0 | 2 2 | 2 0 | 0 6.00 | 210.00 | 0 1 | 0 0 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 1 | 0 1.00 | 20.00 | 530.00 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 5300000.00 |
| 2 Fr | olly Hill new VAS | Farnham Central | 0 0 | 4 (| 0 0 | 4.00 | 60.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 4 | 0 0 | 0 4.00 | 140.00 | 0 2 | 3 0 | 5.00 | 75.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 275.00 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 34375.00 |
| 3 20 | 0mph speed limit Roke Lane Witley | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 0 0 | 3 (| 0 1 | 4.00 | 60.00 | 0 0 | 0 2 | 1 3. | 00 | 45.00 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 3 0 | 0 4.00 | 140.00 | 0 1 | 0 0 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 260.00 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 21666.67 |
| 4 20 | Omph Speed limit Church Lane Witley | Godalming South/Milford & Witlev | 0 0 | 3 (| 0 1 | 4.00 | 60.00 | 0 0 | 02 | 1 3. | 00 | 45.00 | | | | 0 3.00 | 105.00 | 0 1 | 00 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 225.00 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 18750.00 |
| 5 C: | Castle St crossing point/build out | Farnham Central | 0 0 | 0 (| 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3 0 | 3 0 | 2 8. | 00 ^ | 120.00 | 0 0 | 1 3 | 3 0 | 0 7.00 | 245.00 | 0 -1 | 1 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 365.00 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 18250.00 |
| b | Baynards Ln/'Hogspudding Ln' speed limit reduction to 40mph | Cranleigh/Ewhurst | -1 0 | 2 (| 0 0 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0 -1 | 0 0 | 2 1. | 00 | 15.00 | 0 0 | 02 | 0 0 | 0 2.00 | 70.00 | 0 1 | 1 1 | 3.00 | 45.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 145.00 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 9666.67 |
| ¥ ge [∼] 2 | Vest St lighting upgrade | Haslemere | 0 0 | 0 (| 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 4 | 0 4.00 | 140.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 140.00 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 9333.33 |
| 8 H | laslemere Station/Lower St raised table and cushions (bus stop relocation) | Haslemere | -1 0 | 3 (| 0 0 | 2.00 | 30.00 | 4 5 | 4 0 | 2 15 | .00 2 | 225.00 | 0 0 | 0 4 | 4 0 | 0 8.00 | 280.00 | 0 -1 | 2 0 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 550.00 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 6875.00 |
| 9 Ev | whurst Road, convert zebra crossing to pelican crossing | Cranleigh/Ewhurst | -2 -2 | 2 2 - | 1 0 | -3.00 | -45.00 | 2 0 | 1 0 | 0 3. | 00 | 45.00 | 0 0 | 0 2 | 2 0 | 0 4.00 | 140.00 | 0 -2 | 1 0 | -1.00 | -15.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 125.00 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 1251.00 |
| 10 | Downslink resurfacing - Elmbridge Rd Cranleigh to Shamley Green (4-5 niles) | Cranleigh/Ewhurst | 0 0 | 0 (| 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2 0 | 5 0 | 5 12 | .00 | 180.00 | 0 0 | 0 2 | 2 0 | 0 4.00 | 140.00 | 0 1 | 1 0 | 2.00 | 30.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 350.00 | 500 | 0 | 500 | 700.00 |
| 11 Ar | mberley Rd improvements | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 0 0 | 0 (| 0 2 | 2.00 | 30.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 -2 | 0 0 | 0 -2.00 | -70.00 | 2 0 | 0 1 | 3.00 | 45.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 250.00 |
| 12 Fa | arnham town centre pedestrianisation scheme | Farnham Central | 2 3 | 5 5 | 55 | 20.00 | 300.00 | 4 4 | 5 0 | 5 18 | .00 2 | 270.00 | 0 0 | 7 4 | 4 0 | 2 17.00 | 595.00 | 5 4 | 4 5 | 18.00 | 270.00 | 0 | 5 5.00 |) 100.00 | 1535.00 | 15000 | 0 | 15000 | 102.33 |
| 13 Sł | Shackstead Ln carriageway widening | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 2 0 | 0 | 0 2 | 4.00 | 60.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 1. | 00 | 15.00 | 0 0 | 0 -2 | 2 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 2 | -1 -1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 800 | 0 | 800 | 94.75 |
| 14 St | Station Ln Milford - drainage improvements | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.00 |
| | Feasibility Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Station Ln Milford feasibility study widen carriageway over bridge * possible 106 | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0 | 8 | -1.00 |
| 16 Pe | Petworth Rd Star Pub pedestrian crossing feasibility study | Godalming South/Milford & Witley | 0 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0 | 8 | -2.00 |
| | Station approach - feasibility study to install footway from Firgrove Hill to new entrance * possibly private land | Farnham Central | 0 0 | 0 (| 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0 | 8 | -3.00 |
| 18 O | Negative Benefit DId Frensham Rd/A287 Frensham Rd - Bourne beautification | Farnham South | 0 0 | -2 ' | 1 0 | -1.00 | -15.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 0.00 | 0.00 | -15.00 | 8 | 0 | 8 | -1875.00 |
| | The Coombes, Bramley, New lay-by to alleviate parking in The Coombes | Waverley Eastern | 0 0 | -2 (| | | | 0 -2 | -2 0 | | | | | | | 0 -3.00 | -105.00 | | | -2.00 | -30.00 | | | | -225.00 | 60 | 0 | 60 | -3750.00 |
| TOTAL | | Villages | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 16716.1 | 100 | 16616 | |

This page is intentionally left blank

Local Committee Decision and Action Tracker

This tracker monitors progress against the decisions and actions that the Local Committee has made. It is updated before each committee meeting. (Update provided on 26 March 2021).

- Decisions and actions will be marked as 'open', where work to implement the decision is ongoing by the Local/Joint Committee.
- When decisions are reported to the committee as 'complete', they will also be marked as 'closed'. The Committee will then be asked to agree to remove these items from the tracker. For some decisions the Committee and public will be able to monitor the progress through Surrey County Council website. A link to the webpage will be included on the item when marked as complete.
- Decisions may also be 'closed' if further progress is not possible at this time, even though the action is not yet complete. An explanation will be included in the comment section. In this case, the action can remain on the tracker should the Committee request.

SURREY

| Ref number | Meeting Date | Decision | Status (Open/ Closed) | Officer | Comment or update |
|--------------|--------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| 2 Page 30 | 21 Sept 2018 | (i) Petition: improvements to roads in the vicinity of Potters Gate School, Farnham. A new lower 20 mph zone or limit along the whole extent of Beavers Road, Falkner Road and Potters Gate. This will be supported through the implementation of traffic calming or two vehicle activated signs on Falkner Road or Beavers Road. The proposals will be funded from "section 106" contributions from the developer of the nearby housing development of "Hopfields". Note: this development is now being marketed by the developer as 'Abbey View'. | OPEN | Highways – Transport Development Planning Officer / Maintenance Engineer (Road Safety and Active Travel Team responded to the petition) | The developer has advised that it is not going to pay their S106 contribution any earlier than is required by the legal agreement. The first instalment of S106 money for highway improvements (£111,685) has now been paid by the developer, and the package of measures to be delivered as part of the school expansion were approved by the County Council's Planning Committee on 22 October 2020. The proposed package of works can now progress to the detailed design stage, and it is anticipated that work will commence on site in Spring 2021. |
| 5 | | PETITION RESPONSE PETITION FOR 7.5 TONNE WEIGHT RESTRICTION, STATION LANE, SALT LANE AND MARKWICK LANE. | OPEN | Area Highway Manager (SW) | The Local Committee decided on 19 June 2020 that this should be added to the Decision Tracker to monitor HGVs on rural roads between Cranleigh and the A3, including Markwick Lane. An HGV survey has now been funded and ordered and the results will be provided to the Local Committee, when available. |

| 6 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING POINT LOCATIONS | OPEN | Transport Strategy Project Manager | The Local Committee decided on 19 June 2020 that this should be added to the Decision Tracker to monitor the trial charging point locations. |
|---|------|---|---|
|---|------|---|---|

This page is intentionally left blank

Local Committee (Waverley) - Forward Programme

| Details of future meetings | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | al Committee: 2021/22: TBC - 18 June 2021; 12 November 2021; 7 | 11 March 2022 | | | |
| The Formal Committee meeting commences at 10.30am (Open Forum / Informal Public Question Time: 10am – approx. 10.30am, or before) | | | | | |
| Торіс | Purpose | Contact Officer | Proposed date | | |
| Decision Tracker | For information | Partnership Committee Officer | ALL | | |
| Forward Programme | Review the Forward Programme and consider further themes for Member briefings | Partnership Committee Officer | ALL | | |
| SCC Cabinet Member for Highways | To update the Local Committee on HGVs on rural roads in Waverley | Partnership Committee Officer | 26 March 2021 – Postponed until 18 June | | |
| SCC Deputy Leader | To update the Local Committee on SCC infrastructure plans | Partnership Committee Officer | 26 March 2021 – postponed until 18 June | | |
| Active Travel in Waverley | Discussion regarding active travel in town centres and rural communities, to include safer routes to school, climate change strategy and promoting active travel | SCC and Waverley officers | To be arranged | | |
| Waverley Local Transport Strategy | To present the draft and ask for permission for a consultation period | SCC Transport Planner | To be arranged | | |
| Waverley On-street Parking Review 2021 | Surrey County Council's Parking Strategy and Implementation Team (parking team) carry out periodic reviews of on-street parking restrictions across Surrey on a borough by borough basis | SCC Parking Engineer | 18 June 2021 | | |
| Electric Vehicle Charging Point Trials: Phase 2 | To seek commencement of Phase 2 of the trials | Transport Strategy Project Manager | 12 November 202 | | |

This page is intentionally left blank